

10/8/21

Last time:

$$\text{Chain Rule} = \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i} = \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_1} \left(\frac{\partial x_1}{\partial x_i} \right) + \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_2} \left(\frac{\partial x_2}{\partial x_i} \right) + \dots + \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_n} \left(\frac{\partial x_n}{\partial x_i} \right)$$

Implicit Function Theorem: Let F be a function w/ $\frac{\partial F}{\partial x_n} \neq 0$ and $\frac{\partial F}{\partial x_i}$ cts. Then on the locus of $F(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = 0$, we have locally $x_n = f(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1})$ & $\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i} = - \frac{\partial F}{\partial x_i} / \frac{\partial F}{\partial x_n}$.

Proof (IFT Derivative Formula):

Apply a partial derivative to F using chain rule:

$$0 = \frac{\partial F}{\partial x_1} \left(\frac{\partial x_1}{\partial x_i} \right) + \frac{\partial F}{\partial x_2} \left(\frac{\partial x_2}{\partial x_i} \right) + \dots + \frac{\partial F}{\partial x_n} \left(\frac{\partial x_n}{\partial x_i} \right)$$

For $i \neq n$ and $n \neq n$, we have

$$\frac{\partial x_n}{\partial x_i} = 0, \text{ Thus we obtain:}$$

$$0 = \frac{\partial F}{\partial x_i} \left(\frac{\partial x_i}{\partial x_i} \right) + \frac{\partial F}{\partial x_n} \left(\frac{\partial x_n}{\partial x_i} \right) = \frac{\partial F}{\partial x_i} + \frac{\partial F}{\partial x_n} \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i} \right)$$

Solving we obtain $\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i} = - \frac{\frac{\partial F}{\partial x_i}}{\frac{\partial F}{\partial x_n}} \quad \square$

Ex: Compute $\frac{\partial z}{\partial x}$ and $\frac{\partial z}{\partial y}$ for implicit function $z(x,y)$ given by $x^3 + y^3 + z^3 = 2xyz - 5$

Sol: We want to use IFT.

$$x^3 + y^3 + z^3 = 2xyz - 5 \text{ iff } x^3 + y^3 + z^3 - 2xyz + 5 = 0$$

Using, $F(x,y,z) = x^3 + y^3 + z^3 - 2xyz + 5$, we see

$$\frac{\partial F}{\partial x} = 3x^2 - 2yz, \quad \frac{\partial F}{\partial y} = 3y^2 - 2xz, \quad \frac{\partial F}{\partial z} = 3z^2 - 2xy.$$

$$\text{Hence by IFT: } \frac{\partial z}{\partial x} = - \frac{\frac{\partial F}{\partial x}}{\frac{\partial F}{\partial z}} = - \frac{3x^2 - 2yz}{3z^2 - 2xy}$$

$$\text{and } \frac{\partial z}{\partial y} = - \frac{\frac{\partial F}{\partial y}}{\frac{\partial F}{\partial z}} = - \frac{3y^2 - 2xz}{3z^2 - 2xy} \quad \square$$

Gradient and Optimization

Goal: Optimize functions of several variables by extending the calculus I tricks to multiple variables.

Def: The gradient of functions $f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$ is:

$$\nabla f = \left\langle \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_1}, \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_2}, \dots, \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_n} \right\rangle.$$

NB: The gradient can be used to clearly restate some stuff we've seen.

① Chain Rule: $\frac{\partial f}{\partial t_i} = \nabla f \left(\frac{\partial \vec{x}}{\partial t_i} \right)$

Why? $\frac{\partial f}{\partial t_i} \stackrel{\text{by chain rule}}{=} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_1} \left(\frac{\partial x_1}{\partial t_i} \right) + \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_2} \left(\frac{\partial x_2}{\partial t_i} \right) + \dots + \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_n} \left(\frac{\partial x_n}{\partial t_i} \right)$

$$= \left\langle \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_1}, \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_2}, \dots, \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_n} \right\rangle \cdot \left\langle \frac{\partial x_1}{\partial t_i}, \frac{\partial x_2}{\partial t_i}, \dots, \frac{\partial x_n}{\partial t_i} \right\rangle$$

$$= \nabla f \cdot \frac{\partial \vec{x}}{\partial t_i}$$

Claim: Directional derivative can also be expressed using the gradient...

Why? Recall that the directional derivative of f at \vec{p} in the direction of unit vector \vec{u} is:

$$D_{\vec{u}} f(\vec{p}) = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{f(\vec{p} + h\vec{u}) - f(\vec{p})}{h}$$

Define $g(h) = f(\vec{p} + h\vec{u})$ and notice $g(0) = f(\vec{p})$.

$\therefore D_{\vec{u}} f(\vec{p}) = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{g(h) - g(0)}{h} = g'(0)$. On the other hand,

$$g'(h) = \frac{\partial}{\partial h} [f(\vec{p} + h\vec{u})] = \frac{\partial}{\partial h} [f(p_1 + hu_1, p_2 + hu_2, \dots, p_n + hu_n)]$$

Recognise this as a chain rule for $x_i = p_i + hu_i$:

$$g'(h) = \nabla f(\vec{p} + h\vec{u}) \cdot \frac{\partial \vec{x}}{\partial h} = \nabla f(\vec{p} + h\vec{u}) \cdot \langle u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n \rangle \rightarrow$$

$$\rightarrow = \nabla f(\vec{p} + h\vec{u}) \cdot \vec{u}$$

\therefore we have:

$$g'(0) = \nabla f(\vec{p} + 0\vec{u}) \cdot \vec{u} = \nabla f(\vec{p}) \cdot \vec{u}.$$

Finally we have:

$$\textcircled{2} D_{\vec{u}} f(\vec{p}) = \nabla f(\vec{p}) \cdot \vec{u}.$$

Ex: Compute $D_{\vec{u}} f(\vec{p})$ for $f(x, y) = 4x\sqrt{y}$ at $\vec{p} = \langle 1, 4 \rangle$ in direction $\vec{u} = \langle -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \rangle$.

Sol: We know $D_{\vec{u}} f(\vec{p}) = \nabla f(\vec{p}) \cdot \vec{u}$

$$\nabla f(x, y) = \langle 4y^{\frac{1}{2}}, 2xy^{-\frac{1}{2}} \rangle.$$

$$\therefore \nabla f(p) = \langle 4(2), 2(1)(\frac{1}{2}) \rangle = \langle 8, 1 \rangle.$$

$$\therefore D_{\vec{u}} f(\vec{p}) = \nabla f(\vec{p}) \cdot \vec{u} = \langle 8, 1 \rangle \cdot \langle -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \rangle \rightarrow$$

$$\rightarrow = -\frac{8}{\sqrt{2}} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} = -\frac{7}{\sqrt{2}} \quad \boxed{\text{Ans}}$$

Ex: Compute ∇f for $f(x, y, z) = \frac{xz}{y+z}$

Sol: $\nabla f = \left\langle \frac{\partial f}{\partial x}, \frac{\partial f}{\partial y}, \frac{\partial f}{\partial z} \right\rangle.$

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial x} = \frac{z}{y+z}, \quad \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} = -\frac{xz}{(y+z)^2}, \quad \text{and}$$

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial z} = \frac{(y+z) \frac{\partial}{\partial z} [xz] - xz \frac{\partial}{\partial z} [y+z]}{(y+z)^2} \rightarrow$$

$$\rightarrow = \frac{(y+z)(x) - xz(1)}{(y+z)^2} = \frac{xy}{(y+z)^2}$$

$$\therefore \nabla f = \left\langle \frac{z}{y+z}, -\frac{xz}{(y+z)^2}, \frac{xy}{(y+z)^2} \right\rangle$$

Q: How do we optimize the directional derivative?

Think about f at \vec{p} and vary unit vector \vec{u} .

$$\begin{aligned} D_{\vec{u}} f(\vec{p}) &= \nabla f(\vec{p}) \cdot \vec{u} = \|\nabla f(\vec{p})\| \|\vec{u}\| \cos(\theta) \rightarrow \\ &\quad \text{computed earlier} \quad \text{geo property of dot} \\ &\rightarrow \underset{\substack{\vec{u} \text{ unit} \\ \text{vector}}}{=} \|\nabla f(\vec{p})\| \cos \theta \end{aligned}$$

\therefore maximizing $D_{\vec{u}} f(\vec{p})$ amounts to maximizing $\cos(\theta)$. We know from Calc I that $\cos(\theta)$ is maximized at $\cos(0) = 1$.

\therefore (1) The direction of the gradient maximizes directional derivative.

(2) The magnitude of the gradient $\|\nabla f\|$ is the maximum directional derivative at \vec{p} .

Ex. Compute direction and max value
of $D_{\vec{u}} f(\vec{p})$ for $f(x, y, z) = \frac{xz}{y+z}$ at
 $\vec{p} = \langle 1, 1, -2 \rangle$.

Sol: We already computed $\nabla f = \left\langle \frac{z}{y+z}, -\frac{xz}{(y+z)^2}, \frac{xy}{(y+z)^2} \right\rangle$

\therefore at $\vec{p} = \langle 1, 1, -2 \rangle$, the dir. derivative is
maximized in direction $\nabla f(1, 1, -2) = \left\langle \frac{-2}{1-2}, -\frac{1(-2)}{(1-2)^2}, \frac{1(1)}{(1-2)^2} \right\rangle$

$$\rightarrow \langle 2, 2, 1 \rangle$$

Furthermore, the max value is:

$$|\nabla f(\vec{p})| = |\langle 2, 2, 1 \rangle| = \sqrt{4+4+1} = 3 \quad \square$$

Def: A function f has...

① a local maximum value at \vec{p} when $f(\vec{p}) \geq f(\vec{x})$ for all \vec{x} near \vec{p} .

② a global maximum value at \vec{p} when $f(\vec{p}) \geq f(\vec{x})$ for all $\vec{x} \in \text{dom}(f)$.

(we call \vec{p} the (local/global) maximum point for f).

③ minima (both local and global) are defined similarly. [Just flip inequality]

Recall: $f(x) = x$ has none of these...

Q: How do we guarantee the existence of extrema?

maxima or
minima.

Where do we look for
them?

Def: A point $\vec{p} \in \text{dom}(f)$ is a critical point of f when either $\nabla f(\vec{p})$ does not exist or $\nabla f(\vec{p}) = \vec{0}$.

Prop (Fermat's Extremum Theorem): The local extrema of function f occur only at critical points of f .